

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,289 09/12/2001		09/12/2001	Taizo Sato	SATO=22	3961
75	90	04/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Browdy & Ne	imark		ROY, SIKHA		
624 Ninth Street Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, D	C 200	O1		2879	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	Advisory Action	09/936,289	SATO ET AL.						
nariosity nation		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Sikha Roy	2879						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondenc add	iress					
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To be fore, further action by the applicant is required to a vertical end of the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in					
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
b) [ fee have fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  In the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  In the statutory period for reply expire I only the statutory period of the statutory period for the stat	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apportunt of the fee. The apportunities of the fee. The final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or					
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:									
(a	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b	b) $oxtimes$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);							
(c	<ul> <li>they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the					
(c	f) They present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5.	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the					
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly					
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
	Claim(s) allowed:								
	Claim(s) objected to:								
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,7,9-12</u> .								
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims 2 and 10 contain new limitation comprising 'boron from 0.0013 to 0.0053 wt%' which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors had possession at the time the application was filed. The content of boron in weight % as disclosed in Table 1 changes from 0.0013 to 0.0030.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500